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I thank Anonymous Referee #1 for the review of our manuscript and suggestions for improvement. Before I post a point-by-point response on behalf of all authors, I would like to clarify something regarding the data involved.

This manuscript describes three data sets:

El Niño Rapid Response (ENRR) Field Campaign: Surface Meteorological and Ship Data from the NOAA Ship Ronald H. Brown, February-March 2016 (NCEI Accession 0161528) C. Cox, D. Wolfe, L. Hartten, and P. Johnston
https://doi.org/10.7289/V5SF2T80

El Niño Rapid Response (ENRR) Field Campaign: Surface Meteorological Data from Kiritimati Island, January-March 2016 (NCEI Accession 0161526) L. Hartten, P. Johnston, C. Cox, and D. Wolfe https://doi.org/10.7289/V51Z42H4

El Niño Rapid Response (ENRR) Field Campaign: Surface Fluxes from NOAA Ship Ronald H. Brown, 2016-02 to 2016-03 (NCEI Accession 0167875) C. Cox and L. Hartten https://doi.org/10.7289/V58050VP

The first two, containing surface meteorological data at high temporal resolution (1- or 2-minutes), were identified as "Assets" and shown under that when the manuscript was submitted. The third was not, because we had not yet received a DOI for it, although it was referenced in the manuscript by its NCEI accession number. In late December we received that DOI and the editorial staff at ESSD added the data set to the "Assets" tab.